



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,868	07/22/2003	Edward Cocciadiferro	034017R009	7539
441	7590 03/24/2006		EXAMINER	
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP			KIM, SANG K	
1850 M STREET, N.W., SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3654	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/623,868	COCCIADIFERRO	ET AL.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SANG KIM	3654	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>	w);	•	the issues for
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			110 100000 101
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ecteu ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>6</u> , <u>8</u> , <u>10</u> , <u>32-33</u> , <u>47</u> amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	7-50 would be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timel	y filed
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 6,10,47,49 and 50.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>48 and 53</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7-9,46,51 and 52</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-45.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t hafara ar an tha data of filing a Ni	ation of Annaal will an	t bo outored
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
<ul><li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13.  Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	

Application/Control Number: 10/623,868 Page 2

Art Unit: 3654

Applicant's arguments filed on 3/14/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with respect to amended claims 9 and 52. Claims 9 and 52 have been rewritten as independent claims; however, these claims are still anticipated by Morales '170.

Applicant argues that claims 9 and 52 refer to a latch associated with spindle movement and not for a roll positioning assembly, thus the latch allows the spindle to be rotated to a more accessible location. Furthermore, applicant believes that Morales '170 fails to teach a combination of latch and means for pushing the spindle to the desired location once it is unlatched.

As broadly construed, Morales '170 still anticipates applicant's amended claims 9 and 52. Claims 9 and 52 recite, "a latch for fixing or latching said spindle in said film feed to dispenser mode position," and nothing about a latch associated with spindle movement. As indicated in the Final Office Action, Morales '170 shows the latch 22 and a release facilitator 29 being able to move into different positions since the latch is fixed to the spindle and can move with the spindle. Furthermore, Morales '170 discloses the spindle with a telescopic feature, which allows any user to grab onto the latch and provide means for pull or push action to the spindle.

WILLIAM A. RIVERA PRIMARY EXAMINER